

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)				
AIRS ID#: 0250507 DATE: <u>2/10/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:48 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>1:35 PM</u>				
FACILITY NAME: SOUTH FLORIDA CONCRETE						
FACILITY LOCATION: 9500 NW 109 ST						
MEDLEY 33178						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: NEIL Email: CONTACT NAME: ROBERTO VERDE Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/9/2009 / 4/9/2014 (effective date) (end date)	DA SUAREZ  Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(305)888-0420 (305)888-0420				
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): ROBERTO VER  Brief Notes:	RDE	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still NEIDA SUAREZ If no, who is?:	Z?					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative u  3. Is the facility contact still ROBERTO VERDE? If no, who is?:						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's ir If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15						

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-2 silos w/central baghouse dust collector, 100T/hr subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check $\square$ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 2/12/2010     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unce emissions by:	onfined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?  2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary control emissions?	Yes No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?  4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment particulate matter from stock piles?	t of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck	<u>_</u>
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes

## **Facility Section (continued)**

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		
		(check <b>v</b> on for each qu	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:		
	a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?		□ No
	b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		∐ No □ No
	c 100 tons per year of more of any other regulated an pondiant:	· 🔲 les	
2.	Does this facility include:		
	a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception	ı of	
	units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	TYes	⊠ No
	If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	<u> </u>	
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene	eral	
	permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
	If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	□ Vac	□ No
	a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?		∐ No □ No
	c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?		☐ No
	d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?	- Yes	☐ No
	e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	- Yes	∐ No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal prop	<u>oane/yr &lt; 1.00</u>	0?
	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propar	ne/yr	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consur	nption	_
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	Yes	☐ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check <b>☑</b> on	ly one box
		for each qu	•
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed		
	the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	□ V	⊠ N-
2.	Does the owner or operator:	Yes	⊠ No
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	X Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	X Yes	☐ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access	SS	
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		_ ,,
l	permit and Department rules?	🔀 Yes	∐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	· ·	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of b concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plant		* '
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement a soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departmen e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business	w. ) t or Local Air Program by telephone, day prior to changing location? Yes	□ No
<ul> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Noto to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five b</li> <li>c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Noto to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least</li> </ul>	usiness days following a relocation? Yes tification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separ and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine If YES, what was the purpose?  b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how lose.	unit in that separate permit: e purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?  Yes  ng it was	☐ No
co-located at the permitted facility?  If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration	Yes ? Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES	, . m	1
	(check 🗹	
Administrative Changes:	box for each	
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numbe associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloc operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor a 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	box for each or of the facility or authorized representative not ation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes	
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numbe associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloc operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor a 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	box for each or of the facility or authorized representative not ation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes lays of the change? Yes ent? Yes substantially different? Yes	n question)
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numbe associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloc operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been at a Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each or of the facility or authorized representative not ation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change? Yes ent? Yes substantially different? Yes Yes tration form and the appropriate fee submitted	No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numbe associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloc operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each or of the facility or authorized representative not ation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change? Yes ent? Yes substantially different? Yes Yes tration form and the appropriate fee submitted	No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numbe associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloc operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each or of the facility or authorized representative not ation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change? Yes ent? Yes substantially different? Yes Yes tration form and the appropriate fee submitted	No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloc operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been at a Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each or of the facility or authorized representative not ation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes days of the change? Yes ent? Yes substantially different? Yes Yes tration form and the appropriate fee submitted Yes	No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numbe associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloc operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor a 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each or of the facility or authorized representative not ation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes lays of the change? Yes ent? Yes substantially different? Yes Yes tration form and the appropriate fee submitted Yes 2/10/2011	No No No No No No

**COMMENTS:** WILLIAM ARLINGTON FROM ARLINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES PERFORMED A THIRTY (30) MINUTES VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST ON THE CENTRAL DUST COLLECTOR THAT CONTROL PARTICULATE EMISSIONS FROM TWO (2) SILOS. THE VE TEST STARTED AT 12:58 P.M., THE SILO WAS LOADED AT 8 PSI. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE TEST. THE CONCRETE BATCHING WAS OPERATIONAL DURING THE TEST.

ANTONIO MAZPULE, THE FACILITY'S ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT AND ROBERTO VERDE, THE FACILITY'S PLANT MANAGER WERE PRESENT FOR THE VE TEST.

I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY.